

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/695,082	10/28/2003	Chin Cha Chou	14013 B	4168
7590 04/03/2006			EXAMINER	
CHARLES E. BAXLEY, ESQUIRE			YAO, SAMCHUAN CUA	
Third Floor 90 John Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10038			1733	

DATE MAILED: 04/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/695,082	CHOU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Sam Chuan C. Yao	1733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
, ,	VIO OET TO EVEIDE AMONTH	(O) OD THIDTY (OO) DAYO				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	, ·					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 h	March 2006					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	•	osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 4-6 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers	4					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applicat	ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	, ,,,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
		•				
·						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/695,082 Page 2

Art Unit: 1733

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group II (claims 4-6) in the reply filed on 10-04-04 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

#### **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to because the figures do not include corresponding reference numbers presented in the specification. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and

Application/Control Number: 10/695,082

Art Unit: 1733

informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The specification fails to give proper guidance to the artisan, wishing to practice a method for making a TEFLON dual direction extending film nonwoven filtration as how to one can effectively make a non-woven filter by simply adhering a TEFLON film to a needle punch layers of films. Any attempt to effectively form a non-woven filtration by simply adhering a TEFLON film to a needle punched films would involve mere guesswork and undue experimentation on the part of the artisan.

For these reasons, the specification is deemed not to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains or with which it is most nearly connected, or to reasonably convey to one skilled in the art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, has possession of the invention as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,082

Art Unit: 1733

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "Teflon" is a live tradename. A tradename by its nature does not have a fixed chemical composition. In other words, the chemical composition of a tradename 0could change with time. For this reason, this renders claims 4-6 indefinite, because it unclear what chemical composition is required in claims 4-6.

The process limitation "multi-laying of film (or dual-direction extending film)" in claim 4 is not understood. Does this process limitation require laying a plurality of films together? Additionally, the preamble in this claim requires "... a Teflon dual-direction extending film filtration nonwoven ...". However, in the body of this claim, it would appear to suggest that a "([or] dual-direction extending film)" is optional. Note in particular the parenthesis and the term "or". Is the application of dual-direction extending film positively required in this claim? If the application of dual-direction extending film optional, can one still manufacture "a Teflon dual-direction extending film filtration nonwoven"? If so, how? Moreover, it is unclear what is intended by the limitation "apply needle-puching". What element or workpiece is being needled in this process limitation. Is this process limitation require needling a film in the recited "multi-laying of film ...". Furthermore, the

Application/Control Number: 10/695,082

Art Unit: 1733

process limitation "laminate with a Teflon film ... a filtration nonwoven finished product is made" is not understood. What is being laminated with a Teflon film. Is it the needle punched film?

Claim 5 is indefinite, because this claim appears to broaden claim 4 instead of further defining claim 4. Note in particular the limitation "... adhesives can be used instead of said thermo-heating ...".

Claim 6 is also indefinite, because it is unclear whether this claim positively require processing a film by "film-splitting".

It should be noted that in a written restriction requirement dated 1-18-06 in numbered paragraph 4, Applicant was advised to amend all claims to make them conform with US drafting practice. Unfortunately, Applicant did not comply with Examiner's suggestion.

No attempt is made to make a prior art rejection. The lack of a prior art rejection should not be construed as meaning that the claims would be patentable if corrected to overcome the 35 USC 112 rejection set forth above. No prior art rejection has been made since it would be improper to rely on speculative assumptions as to the meaning of the claims in this application.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sam Chuan C. Yao whose telephone number is (571) 272-1224. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday with second Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Richard Crispino can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

**Art Unit: 1733** 

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sam Chuan C. Yao Primary Examiner Art Unit 1733

Scy 03-29-06